

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,959	11/21/2003	Paul J. Flanningan	59010US002	3577
	7590 05/08/2007 TVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427			PATEL, NIHIR B	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		. (0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	-
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,959	FLANNINGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
*	Nihir Patel	3772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		• .				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02.15	5.2 <u>007</u> .					
, 	action is non-final.					
· —						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Dateal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on February 15th, 2007, with respect to claims 1-23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous office action has been withdrawn.

According to the interview held on February 23rd, 2007, the applicant and the examiner agreed that a new non-final office action will be issued where the Scholey reference will applied as a 35 U.S.C. 102(b) reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4. 13, 14, 16-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scholey et al. (US 6,298,849).
- 4. As to claim 1, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge that comprises providing at least one supporting portion 22 of a face-piece insert 12 (see figure 1 and column 2 lines 40-50); providing at least one fluid communication component 24 (see figure 1A) separately from the supporting portion of the face-piece insert; and securing the at least one fluid communication component to the at least one supporting portion (see figure 3).
- 5. As to claim 2, Scholey teaches a method step of securing a compliant face-contacting member 18 to the face-piece insert (see column 2 lines 40-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959

Art Unit: 3772

6. As to claim 3, Scholey teaches a method step of securing a harness to the mask body (see column 2 lines 35-45).

Page 3

- 7. As to claim 4, Scholey teaches a method step of providing at least one filter cartridge 32 that is capable of being attached to the at least one fluid communication component (see figure 1A).
- 8. As to claim 13, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge that comprises a supporting portion 22 (see figure 1); and a fluid communication component 24 (see figure 1A) that is non-integrally joined to the supporting portion.
- 9. As to claim 14, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge that comprises a compliant face contacting member 18 that is non-integrally joined to the supporting portion of the face-piece insert (see figure 2).
- 10. As to claim 16, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge that comprises a harness for supporting the mask body over a person's nose and mouth (see figure 1).
- 11. As to claim 17, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge that comprises a filter cartridge 32 for supporting the mask body over a person's nose and mouth.
- 12. As to claims 18 and 19, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge wherein the fluid component comprises part of an inhalation valve/exhalation valve (see figure 1).
- 13. As to claim 21, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge wherein the supporting portion and the fluid communication component are fused together (see figures 1 and 1A).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 3772

14. As to claim 22, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge that comprises a mask body 10 (see figure 1) that includes a face-piece insert 12 that includes a supporting portion 22; at least one fluid communication component 24 that is non-integrally joined to the supporting portion 22 of the face-piece insert; and a harness for supporting the mask body at least over a person's nose and mouth (see figures 1, 1A and 2).

15. As to claim 23, Scholey teaches a respirator mask with snap in filter cartridge that comprises at least one filter cartridge 32 that is secured to the mask body at a location where the fluid communication component resides (see figure 1A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 18. Claims 5-12, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholey et al. (US 6,298,849).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959 Page 5

Art Unit: 3772

19. **As to claim 8,** Scholey substantially discloses the claimed invention, see rejection of claim 1 above, but does not disclose that at least one supporting portion of the face-piece insert and the at least one fluid communication component are made from similar polymeric materials. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Scholey's invention by providing at least one supporting portion of the face-piece insert and the at least one fluid communication component are made from similar polymeric materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

20. As to claims 5-7, 9-12, 15 and 20, close reading of the applicant's specification (see page 2 lies 25 and 26) reveals that these components have always been close tolerance or critical elements therefore applicant's designation of critical elements does not carry any patentable weight.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,959

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit 3772

Nihir Patel

PATRICIA BIANCO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

4-30-07

Page 6